

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO
TWO EAST 14TH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203

CASE NO. 01SA158

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN
UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW

RECEIVED

Petitioner:

FEB 15 2002

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

ATTORNEY
REGULATION

v.

Respondent:

DACON D. DUNN a/k/a DAVID DUNN, and DEBORAH DUNN, d/b/a NATIONAL
DIVORCE & BANKRUPTCY CENTER @ CYBERSTATION.NET/~PARALEGAL/
COLORADO

ORDER OF COURT

Upon consideration of the Report Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 53(e)
Re: Recommendation for Final Disposition filed herein by the
Presiding Disciplinary Judge, and now being sufficiently advised
in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Accept the parties'
Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavits consenting to an order of
Injunction is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondents ENJOINED from
further engaging in the unauthorized practice of law, and that
the Respondents pay the costs of this action in the amount of
\$191.00. Costs to be paid to the Office of the Attorney
Regulation Counsel within thirty days of the date of this order.

BY THE COURT, FEBRUARY 13, 2002.




cc:

Hon. Roger Keithley
Presiding Disciplinary Judge

James C. Coyle
Assistant Regulation Counsel

David Dunn
Deborah Dunn
3707 Herring Lane
Wichita Falls, TX
76302



SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO
ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN
UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE
600 17TH STREET, SUITE 510-S
DENVER, CO 80202

Petitioner:
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondent:
DACON D. DUNN a/k/a DAVID DUNN, and DEBORAH
DUNN, d/b/a NATIONAL DIVORCE & BANKRUPTCY
CENTER @ CYBERSTATION.NET/-
PARALEGAL/COLORADO.

Case Number:
01SA158


REPORT PURSUANT TO C.R.C.P. 53(e)
RE: RECOMMENDATION FOR FINAL DISPOSITION

By Order dated September 6, 2001, this matter was remanded to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge ("PDJ") by the Colorado Supreme Court for findings of fact, conclusions of law and a recommendation. The court held a Status Conference on October 18, 2001, setting the trial for March 18, 2002.

On January 9, 2002, after a subsequent hearing, petitioner filed a Motion to Accept Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavits Consenting to an Order of Injunction, attaching as Exhibit 1 a signed Stipulation between the parties. The Stipulation acknowledges that respondents engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, and stipulates to an injunction being entered against them, as well as payment by respondents of \$91.00 as costs of this proceeding.

Accordingly, the Presiding Disciplinary Judge recommends that the Supreme Court grant the Motion to Accept the parties' Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavits Consenting to an Order of Injunction, enter an injunction against respondents prohibiting them from further engaging in the practice of law, and order that respondents pay costs in the amount of \$91.00.

DATED THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY, 2002.


ROGER L. KEITHLEY
PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE



SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN UNAUTHORIZED
PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE THE OFFICE OF
THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

Petitioner:
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

Respondents:
DAVID DUNN and DEBORAH DUNN, d/b/a
NATIONAL DIVORCE AND BANKRUPTCY CENTER @
CYBERSTATION.NET/~PARALEGAL/COLORADO

James C. Coyle # 14970
Assistant Regulation Counsel
Attorney for Petitioner
600 17th Street, Suite 200-South
Denver, CO 80202

Phone Number: (303) 893-8121, ext. 328
Fax Number: (303) 893-5302

FILED

JAN 09 2002

PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE
SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Case Number: 01SA158

**MOTION TO ACCEPT STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND AFFIDAVITS
CONSENTING TO AN ORDER OF INJUNCTION**

Petitioner by James C. Coyle, hereby respectfully requests that the Presiding Disciplinary Judge accept the attached stipulation in the above-entitled matter and proceed with findings and recommendations, and as grounds therefor states as follows:

1. On January 3, 2002, the undersigned's office received a signed "Stipulation, Agreement And Affidavit Consenting To And Order Of Injunction (sic)" signed by these respondents. Primarily, said stipulation signed by the respondents is similar to one sent by counsel for petitioner to the respondents.


2. The only differences are that there are typographical errors in the stipulation submitted by the respondents which on limited occasions refer to only one respondent. Taken as a whole, however, the stipulation submitted by the respondents acknowledge culpability by both parties that they engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, that they pay costs in the amount of \$91.00, and that they agree to an order of injunction being entered against them. Respondents' Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit Consenting to An Order of Injunction is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

3. Corrections made by the undersigned include reflecting the proper number of respondents in paragraphs 3, 5, 7, and 8; the correction of small typographical errors; an additional sentence in paragraph 6 in order that said paragraph be complete; and removal of "as defined by Colorado Statute" from paragraph 7 to reflect that the respondents engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, but not as defined by Colorado statute as the respondents inaccurately state. All corrections made by the undersigned are shown by ink writing on Exhibit 1.

4. With said corrections, fully supported by admissions made by respondents due to their failure to respond to request for admissions, the attached Stipulation, Agreement And Affidavits Consenting To An Order Of Injunction should be accepted by the Presiding Disciplinary Judge and submitted to the court with recommendation that an order of injunction be entered and costs be assessed in these proceedings.

WHEREFORE, petitioner respectfully requests that the attached Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavits Consenting to An Order of Injunction be accepted as modified, or in the alternative be accepted as originally submitted by the respondents.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of January 2002.




James C. Coyle
Assistant Regulation Counsel
Attorney for Complainant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that one copy of the foregoing **Motion to Accept Stipulation Agreement and Affidavits Consenting to an Order of Injunction** was placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid, this 9th day of January 2002, and addressed to:

David Dunn and Deborah Dunn
National Divorce & Bankruptcy Center
3707 Herring Lane
Wichita Falls, TX 76302
Facsimile: (940) 723-7543

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Larry Baker", written over a horizontal line.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN UNAUTHORIZED
PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE THE PRESIDING
DISCIPLINARY JUDGE AS HEARING MASTER
600 17th Street, Suite 510-South Denver, Colorado 80221

Petitioner:
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

Respondents
DAVID AND DEBORAH DUNN
d/b/a NATIONAL DIVORCE AND
BANKRUPTCY CENTER

James C. Coyle # 14970
Assistant Regulation Counsel Attorney for Petitioner
600 17th Street, Suite 200-South
Denver, CO 80202
Phone Number: (303) 893-8121, ext. 328.
Fax Number: (303) 893-5302

DAVID DUNN
DEBORAH DUNN
3707 Herring Lane' Wichita Falls, TX 76302
Phone Number: (940) 322- 7543
Fax Number: 940 723-4715

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Case Number: O1SA158

STIPULATION , AGREEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT^s CONSENTING TO
AND ~~ORDER~~ ORDER OF INJUNCTION

On this the 22nd day of December, 2001, James C. Coyle, Assistant Regulation Counsel, and David and Deborah Dunn enter into the following stipulation, agreement, and affidavits consenting to an order of injunction ("stipulation") and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for an order of injunction pursuant to C.R.C.P. 229-237.

1. The respondents. reside at 3707 Herring Lane, Wichita Falls, Texas, 76302. The respondents are not licensed to practice law in the State of Colorado.
2. The respondents enter into this stipulation freely and voluntarily. No promises have been made concerning future consideration, punishment, or lenience in the above-referenced matter. It is the respondents personal decision, and the respondents affirm there has been no coercion



or other intimidating acts by any person or agency concerning this matter.

3. The respondent^s are familiar with the rules of the Colorado Supreme Court regarding the unauthorized practice of law. The respondents acknowledge the right to a full and complete evidentiary hearing on the above-referenced petition for injunction. At any such hearing, the respondents would have the right to be represented by counsel, present evidence, call witnesses, and cross-examine the witnesses presented by the petitioner. At any such formal hearing, the petitioner would have the burden of proof and would be required to prove the charges contained in the petition for injunction. Nonetheless, having full knowledge of the right to such a formal hearing, the respondents waive that right.

4. The respondents and the petitioner stipulate to the following facts and conclusions:

5. The respondent^s operate a web site for their business, the National Divorce and Bankruptcy Center @ Cyberstation.Net/-Paralegal/Colorado.

6. Using such website, the respondents^s operate a document preparation service. ~~pleadings~~ The completed dissolution of marriage package forms are then sent back to the clients by mail with an information sheet containing further information on the dissolution process in Colorado.

7. By having prospective customers fill out an information form and then by selecting the appropriate pleadings to be filed, the respondent^s ^{have} engaged in the unauthorized practice of law as defined in Colorado. In effect, ~~this respondent~~ ^{is} determining what pleading is appropriate for their prospective customer(s). Once this action occurs, all further legal information provided to the client by ~~this respondent~~ ^{is} can no longer be considered "general information", but instead must be considered legal advice as defined in Colorado. Thus, the respondent^s engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, ~~as defined by Colorado Statute.~~

8. The respondent^s have read and studied the petition for injunction and are familiar with the allegations therein, and a true and correct copy of the petition for injunction is attached to this stipulation as exhibit A.

9. Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 251.32, the respondent^s agreed to pay the costs and administrative costs in the sum of \$91.00 incurred in conjunction with this matter within thirty (30) days after the acceptance' of the stipulation by the Colorado Supreme Court.

has
fill out
application
form
from
which
respondents
select
and
prepare
the
pleadings.

JC 1/03

RECOMMENDATION FOR AND CONSENT TO ORDER OF INJUNCTION

Based on the foregoing, the parties hereto recommend that an order be entered enjoining the respondent from the unauthorized practice of law, and requiring that the respondent pay costs in the total amount of \$ 91.00.

David and Deborah Dunn, the respondents, and the petitioner's attorney, James C. Coyle, acknowledge by signing this document that they have read and reviewed the above.

STATE OF TEXAS)
) ss:
COUNTY OF WICHITA)

David Dunn
David Dunn, Respondent
3707 Herring Lane
Wichita Falls, Texas
Telephone (940) 322- 7543


Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of Dec 22 2001,
by David Dunn, respondent.

Witness my hand and official seal.

Debra S. Adkins
Notary Public
My commission Expires: 1-1-03

STATE OF TEXAS)
) ss:
COUNTY OF WICHITA)

Deborah Dunn
Deborah Dunn, Respondent
3707 Herring Lane
Wichita Falls, Texas
Telephone (940) 322- 7543



Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of Dec 22 2001,
by Deborah Dunn, respondent.

Witness my hand and official seal.

Debra S. Adkins
Notary Public
My commission Expires: 1-1-03



